Byers, J. A. 2013. Modeling and Regression Analysis of Semiochemical Dose-Response Curves of Insect Antennal Reception and Behavior. *Journal of Chemical Ecology* 39:1081-1089.

John A. Byers

US Arid-Land Agricultural Research Center, USDA-ARS, 21881 North Cardon Lane, Maricopa, Arizona 85138, USA, e-mail: john.byers@ars.usda.gov

Supplementary Tables S1 – S3 and references:

of semiochennical (A) and electro	antennographic (EAG) les	polise for various filsects (1)	•	
Insect species – attractant	Dosages (X)	EAG (Y)	Logarithmic	Kinetic formation A-R (adjusted R ²) ^a
			adjusted R ²	
<i>Tomicus minor</i> $\stackrel{\bigcirc}{\downarrow}$ -(<i>S</i>)- <i>trans</i> -verbenol ^b	10, 100, 1000, 10000	62, 145, 162, 179	0.515	E (0.970); G (0.964); C (0.960); R (0.981)
<i>T. minor</i> \mathcal{O} -(<i>S</i>)- <i>trans</i> -verbenol ^b	10, 100, 1000, 10000	44, 131, 170, 187	0.691	E (0.996); C (0.978); B (0.965); R (0.999)
<i>Ips typographus</i> – (+)-ipsdienol ^c	0.1, 1, 10, 100	17, 35, 45, 56	0.941	E (0.985); R (0.938)
Dendroctonus micans – (+)-ipsdienol ^c	0.1, 1, 10, 100, 1000	12, 24, 36.2, 51.1, 54.2	0.945	G (0.986); K (0.986); F (0.966); R (0.986)
D. micans – exo-brevicomin ^c	0.5, 5, 50, 500	26.1, 46, 56.2, 60	0.717	E (0.999); B (0.968); R (0.999); P (0.990)
Hesperophylax occidentalis males– 6-	0.1, 1, 10, 100, 1000	0.48, 0.60, 0.74, 0.85, 0.92	0.983	F (0.999); E (0.990); K (0.905); R (0.939)
methylnonan-3-one ^d				
Mamestra suasa A cell–Z11-16:Ac ^e	1, 10, 100, 1000, 10000	5.5, 8.3, 21.5, 44.3, 66.0	0.867	F (0.992); G (0.971); K (0.971); R (0.978)
<i>M. suasa</i> B cell–Z9-14:Ac ^e	1, 10, 100, 1000, 10000	6.8, 15.2, 22.2, 50.6, 84.4	0.802	G (0.999); K (0.996); F (0.968); R (0.964)
<i>Microplitis croceipes</i> – Z3-6:Ac ^f	0.1, 1, 10, 100	7.1, 17.1, 49.2, 102.9	0.743	B (0.992); E (0.956); C (0.938); R (0.996)
<i>M. croceipes</i> – benzaldehyde ^f	0.1, 1, 10, 100	3.3, 17.6, 59.4, 112.5	0.838	E (0.992); B (0.987); C (0.979); R (0.995)
Spodoptera littoralis – Z,E-9,11-14:Ac ^g	0.2, 2, 20, 200	2.27, 2.95, 6.41, 6.70	0.657	B (0.994); E (0.667); N (0.924); P (0.924)
S. littoralis – two components ^g	0.2, 2, 20, 200	4.01, 4.78, 8.22, 7.26	0.184	B (0.845); E (0.313); P (0.647); N (0.647)
Colopterus truncates – triene 2, femaleh	0.1, 1, 10, 100	1.24, 2.47, 3.65, 4.00	0.857	E (0.978); B (0.961); R (0.998); N (0.997)
<i>C. truncates</i> – triene 2, male ^h	0.1, 1, 10, 100	1.07, 1.27, 1.71, 1.98	0.944	B (0.963); R (0.999); N (0.992); P (0.992)
Spodoptera littoralis – benzaldehyde ⁱ	10, 100, 1000, 10000	43.5, 67.7, 95.6, 301.2	0.301	B (0.986); E (0.666); C (0.660); R (0.986)
S. littoralis – eugenol ⁱ	1, 10, 100, 1000, 10000	90.4, 138.6, 171.1, 188, 233.7	0.956	K (0.816); F (0.833); R (0.685)
S. littoralis – nonanal ⁱ	1, 10, 100, 1000	72.3, 108.4, 259, 474.7	0.755	B (0.997); E (0.857); C (0.773); R (0.999)
S. littoralis – acetophenone ⁱ	1, 10, 100, 1000	73.5, 83.3, 210.6, 291.4	0.764	B (0.996); P (0.985); N (0.985); R (0.973)
<i>Coccinella septempunctata</i> – (<i>E</i>)-β-farnesene ^j	10, 100, 1000, 10000	9.3, 25.3, 55.1, 52.9	0.619	B (0.993); C (0.933); E (0.926); P (0.931)
C. septempunctata – β -caryophyllene ^j	1, 10, 100, 1000, 10000	4.9, 7.7, 19.9, 39.9, 68	0.823	G (0.981); K (0.978); F (0.973); R (0.951)
Contarinia pisi – 2S12S-diacetoxytridecanek	0.1, 1, 10, 100	0.23, 0.25, 0.52, 0.76	0.746	B (0.997); N (0.993); P (0.993); R (0.988)

Table S1. Logarithmic [Y = a + bln(X)] and non-linear (kinetic formation A-R) regressions describing the relationship between dosage of semiochemical (X) and electroantennographic (EAG) response for various insects (Y).

Agrotis segetum – Z5-10:OAc, Sweden ¹	0.01, 0.1, 1, 10, 100	16.9, 32.4, 55.8, 99.1, 74.3	0.540	F (0.653); B (0.653); J (0.645); N (0.569)
A. segetum – Z5-10:OAc, Zimbabwe ^l	0.01, 0.1, 1, 10, 100	4.3, 29.1, 67.4, 127.5, 122.2	0.857	K (0.994); G (0.992); D (0.946); N (0.924)
A. segetum – Z7-12:OAc, Sweden ¹	0.01, 0.1, 1, 10, 100	8.9, 18.1, 39.9, 68.6, 59.7	0.747	D (0.919); F (0.917); B (0.916); N (0.870)
A. segetum – Z7-10:OAc, Zimbabwe ^l	0.01, 0.1, 1, 10, 100	0.3, 5.6, 45.2, 119.3, 114.1	0.773	A (0.997); F (0.995); B (0.994); P (0.935)
<i>Scolytus multistriatus</i> – α-multistriatin ^m	0.5, 5, 50, 500, 1000	45.2, 54.5, 69.3, 97.9, 100.3	0.920	D (0.967); F (0.967); B (0.958); R (0.967)
S. multistriatus – 4-methyl-3-heptanol ^m	5, 50, 250, 500, 760, 1905	30.3, 37.1, 58.7, 74.2, 93.8,	0.796	B (0.970); F (0.962); J (0.941); P (0.946)
		98.8		
<i>Agrotis segetum</i> – Z5-10:OAc ⁿ	0.1, 1, 10, 100, 1000	6.5, 74.6, 77.9, 92.7, 87.2	0.314	A (0.928); D(0.909); E (0.855); M (0.866)
<i>Dendroctonus ponderosae</i> \bigcirc <i>– exo-</i> brevicomin ^o	0.01, 0.1, 1, 10, 100	39.3, 60, 88.4, 118.7, 130.5	0.970	F (0.985); K (0.954); R (0.966)
D. ponderosae $eet{d}^{\circ}$ – exo-brevicomin ^o	0.01, 0.1, 1, 10, 100	23.3, 25.7, 71.8, 101.8, 121.9	0.964	F (0.998); D (0.947); R (0.985); N (0.947)
<i>D. terebrans</i> \bigcirc <i>– endo</i> -brevicomin ^p	0.005, 0.05, 0.5, 5, 50	27.6, 39, 71.6, 131.8, 130.4	0.832	B (0.990); D (0.990); F (0.990); P (0.956)
D. terebrans $eigenproduct - endo-brevicominp$	0.005, 0.05, 0.5, 5, 50	29.3, 51.4, 76.4, 110, 201.4	0.793	K (0.958); F (0.854); D (0.842); R (0.850)
<i>D. terebrans</i> $\stackrel{\bigcirc}{_+}$ – turpentine ^p	0.005, 0.05, 0.5, 5, 50	18.4, 17, 29.8, 57.4, 61.6	0.768	F (0.991); D (0.991); J (0.986); N (0.971)
D. terebrans $eigenproduct - turpentine^p$	0.005, 0.05, 0.5, 5, 50	19.8, 31.9, 31.9, 78.7, 86.5	0.744	J (0.923); F (0.922); B (0.920); N (0.858)
<i>Lymantria dispar</i> – (+)-disparlure ^q	0.5, 5, 50, 500, 5000	12.4, 33.8, 119.4, 200.6, 375.2	0.839	G (0.997); K (0.997); F (0.897); R (0.862)
Manduca Sexta – bombykal ^r	1, 10, 100, 1000, 10000	0.14, 0.19, 0.38, 0.59, 0.86	0.909	F (0.988); G (0.913); R (0.921)
<i>Coleomegilla maculata</i> $^{\circ}_{+}$ – Z3-6:OH ^s	1, 10, 100, 1000	1.18, 1.27, 2.15, 4.79	0.412	B (0.999); N (0.999), P (0.999); R (0.999)
<i>C. maculata</i> $^{\circ}$ – Z3-6:OH ^s	1, 10, 100, 1000	1.06, 1.08, 1.87, 2.53	0.713	B (0.992); P (0.984); N (0.984); R (0.978)
<i>C. maculata</i> \bigcirc – aphid pheromone ^s	1, 10, 100, 1000	1.21, 1.29, 2.89, 3.63	0.728	B (0.989); N (0.965); P (0.965); R (0.943)
<i>C. maculata</i> \mathcal{J} – aphid pheromone ^s	1, 10, 100, 1000	0.99, 1.11, 2.31, 2.16	0.339	B (0.906); E (0.370); P (0.735); N (0.735)
<i>Crysoperla carnea</i> $\stackrel{\bigcirc}{_+}$ – aphid pheromone ^s	1, 10, 100, 1000	0.69, 1.92, 4.65, 6.64	0.945	E (0.985); B (0.965); R (0.998); P (0.988)
<i>C. carnea</i> \mathcal{J} – aphid pheromone ^s	1, 10, 100, 1000	0.61, 1.92, 6.33, 5.44	0.371	E (0.919); A (0.917); I (0.916); P (0.777)
<i>Ectomyelois ceratoniae</i> $^{\wedge}_{\sim}$ – trienal ^t	0.01, 0.1, 1, 10	1.03, 29.89, 62.09, 77.57	0.945	E (0.988); C (0.979); R (0.999); Q (0.987)
Anthonomus grandis $3^{\circ} - 1$ -hexenol ^u	0.1, 1, 10, 100, 1000	3.82, 12, 39.27, 91.09, 120	0.897	F (0.998); E (0.987); D (0.982); R (0.997)
A. grandis \bigcirc - 1-hexenol ^u	0.1, 1, 10, 100, 1000	2.7, 13.04, 27.72, 103.26,	0.778	F (0.988); B (0.988); D (0.988); N (0.945)
		114.1		

^aUp to three kinetic formation regressions A-L (Table 1) listed from left to right in order of best fit using adjusted $R^2 > logarithmic R^2$, and one or more best-fitting formulas M-R, (if R^2 of formation functions $< logarithmic R^2$ then only the top two formulas of each category are shown).

^bLanne et al. (1987), Coleoptera: Curculionidae, Fig. 4 (µg) female, eq. E: a = 175.7, b = 5.46E-5, c = 2.36; male, eq. E: a = 189.7, b = 5.04E-6, c = 2.68.

^cTømmerås et al. (1984), Coleoptera: Curculionidae, Figs. 1 and 2 (μ g) *I. typographus*, eq. E: a = 79.1, b = 3.6E-13, c = 7.99; *D. micans* ipsdienol, eq. G: a = 28, b = 0.053, c = 24.76, d = 0.372; *D. micans* exo-brevicomin, eq. E: a = 63.1, b = 4.43E-5, c = 3.62.

^dJewett et al. (1996), Trichoptera: Limnephilidae, Fig. 2 (µg) eq. F: a = 0.438, b = 0.707, c = 9.51, d = 8.24.

^eLucas and Renou (1989). Lepidoptera: Noctuidae, Fig. 7 (ng) Z11-16:Ac, eq. F: a = 5.95, b = 80.86, c = 6.66E-10, d = 4.47; Z9-14:Ac, eq. G: a = 66.7, b = 0.00068, c = 17.77, d = 0.0328.

^fLi et al. (1992), Hymenoptera: Braconidae, Fig. 2C, D (μ g) Z3-6:Ac, eq. B: a = 9.06, b = 94.1, c = 0.0564; benzaldehyde, eq. E: a = 159.5, b = 5.42E-8, c = 3.856.

^gMoore (1981), Lepidoptera: Noctuidae, Fig. 2 (μ g) Z,E-9,11:Ac, eq. B: a = 2.08, b = 4.68, c = 0.119; two components, eq. B: a = 3.73, b = 4.024, c = 0.188.

^hCossé and Bartelt (2000), Coleoptera: Nitidulidae, Fig. 4 (μ g) female, eq. R: eq. R: a = 0.847, b = 3.39, c = 0.012; male eq. R: a = 1.039, b = 0.076, c = 0.264.

ⁱAnderson et al. (1993), Lepidoptera: Noctuidae, Fig. 1 (μ g) oviposition deterrents, benzaldehyde, eq. B: a = 52.43, b = 321.9, c = 0.000148; eugenol, eq. Log.: a = 97.16, b = 14.59; nonanal, eq. B: a = 76.6, b = 398.7, c = 0.0062; acetophenone, eq. B: a = 66.97, b = 224.7, c = 0.01.

^jAl Abassi et al. (2000), Coleoptera: Coccinellidae, Fig. 3 (ng), spike frequency to aphid alarm pheromone, (*E*)- β -farnesene, eq. B: a = 6.91, b = 47.22, c = 0.005; β -caryophyllene, eq. G: a = 49.18, b = 0.00056, c = 19.02, d = 0.00425.

^kHilbur et al. (2001), Diptera: Cecidomyiidae, Fig. 5 (μ g) sex pheromone eq. B: a = 0.218, b = 0.543, c = 0.081.

¹Wu et al. (1999), Lepidoptera: Noctuidae, Fig. 2A and C (μ g), spike frequency to sex pheromone components Z5-10:OAc Sweden, eq. F: a =21.43, b = 65.2, c = 0.776, d = 1.005; Z5-10:OAc Zimbabwe, eq. K: a = 28.85, b = 17.5, c = 96.2, d = 0.518; Z7-12:OAc, Sweden, eq. D: a = 10.47, b = 53.62, c = 0.525, d = 0.0086; Z7-12:OAc, Zimbabwe, eq. A: a = 117.04, b = 0.493.

^mGrant and Lanier (1982), Coleoptera: Curculionidae, Fig. 4 (μ g), aggregation pheromone α -multistriatin, eq. D: a = 47.61, b = 53.8, c = 0.00234, d = 2.09E-4; 4-methyl-3-heptanol, eq. B: a = 29.02, b = 72.58, c = 0.00222.

ⁿValeur et al. (2000), ; Lepidoptera: Noctuidae, Fig. 4 (ng), neuron spike frequency to four component sex pheromone, eq. A: a = 86.49, b = 1.73.

°Whitehead (1986), Coleoptera: Curculionidae, Fig. 1 (μ g), aggregation component, female eq. F: a = 37.4, b = 107.7, c = 2.8E-7, d = 4.456; male eq. F: a = 21.6, b = 117.7, c = 1.58E-7, d = 4.382.

^pDelorme and Payne (1990), Fig. 1A and B (μ g) *endo*-brevicomin female eq. B: a = 30.12, b = 101.2, c = 1.076; male eq. K: a = 59.9, b = 132.4, c = 143, d = 0.089; terpentine – female, eq. F: a = 16.96, b = 44.64, c = 0.217, d = 1.31; male, eq. J: a = 25.7, b = 60.8, c = 0.437, d = 3.39.

^qDickens et al. (1997), Lepidoptera: Lymantriidae, Fig. 3 (ng) sex pheromone eq. G: a = 244.2, b = 0.0005, c = 151.5, d = 0.00039.

^rDolzer et al. (2003), Lepidoptera: Sphingidae, Fig. 7A (ng) sex pheromone eq. F: a = 0.137, b = 2.074, c = 7.4E-8, d = 15.58.

^sZhu et al. (1999), Coleoptera: Coccinellidae, Fig. 4 (μ g), Z3-6:OH female, eq. B: a = 1.163, b = 3.82, c = 0.003; male eq. B: a = 1.007, b = 1.525, c = 0.0082; nepetalactone (aphid sex pheromone); female eq. B: a = 1.102, b = 2.536, c = 0.0119; male eq. B: a = 0.874, b = 1.384, c = 0.0287; Neuroptera: Chrysopidae, Fig. 5 (μ g) nepetalactone female eq. R: a = 0.561, b = 7.037, c = 5.014E-4; male eq. A: a = 5.9, b = 0.04335.

^tTodd et al. (1992), Lepidoptera: Pyralidae, Fig. 3 (μ g), (*Z*,*E*)-9,11,13-tetradecatrienal (trienal) eq. R: a = -5.276, b = 89.65, c = 9.88E-4.

^uDickens (1989), Coleoptera: Curculionidae Fig. 2 (μ g) host plant attractant males, eq. F: a = 4.739, b = 132.1, c = 7.579E-7, d = 3.246; females eq. F: a = 6.28, b = 107.8, c = 0.0234, d = 0.994.

Insect species – attractant	Dosages (X)	% Response (Y)	Logarithmic	Kinetic formation A-R (adjusted R ²) ^a
			adjusted R ²	
<i>Ips paraconfusus</i> \bigcirc – Ie and Id ^b	0.89, 8.9, 89, 890	23, 33, 57, 70	0.928	B (0.971); R (0.999); N (0.997); P (0.997)
<i>I. paraconfusus</i> [♀] ₊ – pheromone ^c	0.22, 2.2, 22, 222, 2222	19, 46, 64, 73, 88	0.927	E (0.951); F (0.951); R (0.867)
Dendroctonus brevicomis [♀] ₊ – pheromone ^d	0.02, 0.2, 2, 20, 200	18.1, 40.5, 57.2, 76.7, 79.6	0.912	G (0.999); K (0.994); F (0.964); R (0.927)
D. brevicomis \bigcirc – pheromone ^d	0.02, 0.2, 2, 20, 200	23.1, 37, 66.8, 72.9, 67.2	0.590	D (0.966); E (0.679): P (0.929); N (0.929)
Pityogenes chalcographus – MD ^e	0.002, 0.02, 0.2, 2, 20, 200	29.9, 39.9, 57, 60.6, 70.2, 73.8	0.922	L (0.997); E (0.941); F (0.938); R (0.927)
P. chalcographus – CH ^e	0.2, 2, 20, 200	23.7, 47.2, 70.2, 73.8	0.783	B (0.988); E (0.959); C (0.814); N (0.999)
Pityogenes bidentatus – pheromone ^f	1, 10, 100, 1000	17.5, 42.5, 40, 50	0.304	E (0.746); C (0.736); N (0.759)
<i>Ips pini</i> – frass pheromone ^g	1, 10, 100, 1000	27.4, 38.8, 57.2, 63.1	0.902	B (0.944); N (0.999); P (0.999); R (0.993)
<i>I. pini</i> – aeration extract ^h	1, 10, 100, 1000	30.4, 54.3, 70.6, 77	0.822	E (0.996); B (0.953); R (0.999); P (0.988)
<i>Epiphyas postvittana</i> – pheromone ⁱ	0.1, 1, 10, 100	24.2, 58.3, 86.7, 76.7	0.286	B (0.934); C (0.859); E (0.856); N (0.886)
Drosphila melanogaster – natural 7,11-27:Hy ^j	25, 75, 125, 325, 510, 1000	3.1, 9.3, 29.2, 23.9, 23.7, 20.3	0.119	A (0.473); E (0.235); C (0.209); P (0.201)
<i>D. melanogaster</i> – 7,11-27:Hy ^j	140, 200, 400, 500, 1000	2.2, 15.6, 16.1, 17.3, 17.7	0.140	B (0.968); J (0.797); F (0.264); N (0.031)
<i>D. melanogaster</i> – natural 7-25:Hy ^j	220, 230, 285, 515, 1200	2.3, 5, 9.3, 18.9, 19	0.602	J (0.969); B (0.965); F (0.964); N (0.735)
<i>D. melanogaster</i> – 7-25:Hy ^j	200, 260, 350, 550, 1550	1.7, 9.3, 7.6, 13.9, 15.1	0.456	F (0.522); A (0.488); B (0.472); N (0.451)
Supella longipalpa – 2R,4R-supelapyrone ^k	1, 3, 10, 30, 100, 1000	15.4, 50.3, 59.7, 90.1, 93.7, 94.2	0.652	L (0.951); H (0.908); K (0.857); R (0.901)
S. longipalpa – 2S,4R-supelapyrone ^k	3, 9.9, 30, 99.9, 300, 999	3.4, 19.9, 70.1, 90.1, 100.3, 93.5	0.745	I (0.984); B (0.972); J (0.969); N (0.933)
<i>Cydia pomonella</i> – codlemone ^l	0.01, 0.1, 1, 10	16.3, 39, 70.8, 66	0.544	B (0.981); E (0.850); C (0.849); N (0.922)
Blattella germanica – sex pheromone ^m	0.1, 1, 5, 10, 50, 100	10.1, 37.3, 81.5, 94.7, 100.7, 101	0.862	H (0.999); L (0.999); D (0.999); P (0.984)
Agrotis segetum – pheromone blend ⁿ	1, 10, 100, 1000, 10000	0.9, 3, 8.2, 15.5, 14.2	0.804	K (0.979); G (0.979); D (0.962); P (0.922)
<i>Aleochara curtula</i> – Z7-21:Hy ^o	0.01, 0.1, 1, 10	3.3, 51.5, 61.6, 47.2	0.000	I (0.843); E (0.754); A (0.753); M (0.538)
Blattella germanica – pheromone ^p	3.2, 10, 32, 100, 316, 1000	0.08, 0.17, 0.4, 0.45, 0.54, 0.51	0.791	E (0.953); C (0.948); L (0.932); N (0.945)

Table S2. Logarithmic [Y = a + bln(X)] and non-linear (kinetic formation A-R) regressions describing the relationship between dosage of pheromone (X) and behavioral responses for various insects (Y) in laboratory bioassays.

^aUp to three kinetic formation regressions A-L (Table 1) listed from left to right in order of best fit using adjusted $R^2 > logarithmic R^2$, and one or more best-fitting formulas M-R, (if R^2 of formation functions < logarithmic R^2 then only the top two formulas of each category are shown).

^bByers et al. (1979), Coleoptera: Curculionidae, Table 2 (ng/min); ipsenol (Ie) and ipsdienol (Id), eq. R: a = 21.1, b = 56.07, c = 1.15E-5.

^cByers (1983), Fig. 3; Ie, Id, and (S)-cis-verbenol (ng/min); eq. E: a = 93.8, b = 5.71E-9, c = 5.283.

^dByers and Wood (1981), Coleoptera: Curculionidae, Fig. 3 (ng/min) frontalin, *exo*-brevicomin and myrcene, female eq. G: a = 36.94, b = 32.67, c = 43.59, d = 0.0102; male eq. D: a = 21.03, b = 49.02, c = 1.01, d = 0.0239.

^eByers et al. (1990), Coleoptera: Curculionidae, Fig. 3 (ng/min), varied (*E*,*Z*)-2,4-methyl decadienoate (MD) with 22 ng/min chalcogran (CH), eq. L: a = 28.55, b = 28.96, c = 24.58, d = 16.17, e = 0.08; or varied CH with 22 ng/min MD, eq. N: a = 18.24, b = 56.4, c = 0.0094. ^fByers (2012c), Coleoptera: Curculionidae, Table 3 (ng/min), grandisol and (*S*)-*cis*-verbenol, eq. N: a = -79.63, b = 125.097, c = 0.0278. ^gTeale and Lanier (1991), Coleoptera: Curculionidae, Fig. 5 (male frass), eq. N: a = 25.59, b = 38.03, c = 0.0014. ^hTeale et al. (1991), Fig. 1 (aeration extract), eq. R: a = 20.57, b = 59.08, c = 6.3E-5. ⁱBellas and Bartell (1983), Lepidoptera: Tortricidae, Table 1 (ng), 14:1 ratio (*E*)-11-14:OAc to (*E*,*E*)-9,11-14:OAc; eq. B: a = 18.15, b = 63.54, c = 0.999. ^jAntony et al. (1985), ; Diptera: Drosophilidae, Fig. 3A (ng) male vibrations for 7,11-27:Hy natural eq. A: a = 23.79, b = 0.014; 7,11-27:Hy eq. B: a = -3432, b = 3449, c = 0.0389; 7-25:Hy natural eq. J: a = -38.75, b = 58.16, c = 0.011, d = 0.011; 7-25:Hy eq. F: a = -2.207E+7, b = 2.207E+7, c = 0.00158, d = 1.628. ^kGemeno et al. (2003), Dictyoptera: Blattellidae, Fig. 1 (pg), males attracted to sex pheromone 2R,4R eq. L: a = -795, b = 833.9, c = 3.45, d = 56.3, e = 0.061; 2S,4R eq. J: a = 0.189, b = 94.6, c = 0.073, d = 0.107. ^lPreiss and Priesner (1988), Lepidoptera: Tortricidae, Fig. 1 (ng), eq. B: a = 12.8, b = 55.6, c = 6.395. ^mSchal et al. (1990), Dictyoptera: Blattellidae, Fig. 1 (ng), male wing-raising to 3,11-dimethyl-2-nonacosanone, eq. D: a = 6, b = 94.8, c = 0.205, d = 0.0025.

ⁿValeur et al. (2000), Lepidoptera: Noctuidae, Fig. 4 (ng), male orientation in wind tunnel to blend of Z5-10:OAc/Z7-12:OAc/Z9-14:OAc/Z5-12:OAc at 1:5:2.5:0.25 ratios, eq. K: a = 2.22, b = 0.46, c = 12.63, d = 0.0064.

^oPeschke and Metzler (1987), Coleoptera: Staphylinidae, Fig. 4 (μ g), male genital grasping of sex pheromone: Z7-21:Hy, eq. I: a = 54.6, b = 44.5, c = 44.6. ^pSakuma and Fukami (1990), Dictyoptera: Blattellidae, Fig. 1 (μ g), anemotaxis of nymphs to aggregation pheromone, eq. E: a = 0.519, b = 0.069, c = 1.441.

Insect species – attractant	Dosages (X)	Catches (Y)	Logarithmic	Kinetic formation A-R (adjusted R ²) ^a
			adjusted R ²	
Pityogenes chalcographus – pheromone ^b	0.1, 1, 10	665, 1968, 2772	0.963	A (0.908); Q (0.999); O (0.987); M (0.987)
Dendroctonus brevicomis – pheromone ^c	0.43, 4.3, 43	189, 1402, 1773	0.828	A (0.994); O (0.950); M (0.950); Q (0.909)
<i>D. brevicomis</i> – frontalin ^d	0.015, 0.15, 1.5	110, 205, 325	0.991	A (0.500); Q (0.877)
D. brevicomis – exo-brevicomin ^e	0.015, 0.15, 1.5	128, 282, 325	0.809	A (0.919); O (0.999); M (0.999); Q (0.982)
<i>I. typographus</i> – MB ^f	0.5, 5, 50, 500, 5000	16, 27, 163, 225, 308	0.916	K (0.989); G (0.989); F (0.931); R (0.916)
<i>I. typographus</i> $-cV^{f}$	0.01, 0.1, 1, 10	147, 596, 1501, 1988	0.945	E (0.996); C (0.971); B (0.954); R (0.999)
<i>Ips typrographus</i> – pheromone 12 m ^g	1.2, 5.796, 57	10, 80, 753	0.787	A (0.999); O (0.999); M (0.999); Q (0.999)
<i>I. typrographus</i> – pheromone, 1.5-12 m ^g	1.2, 5.796, 57	373, 1390, 2082	0.909	A (0.997); M (0.968); O (0.968); Q (0.944)
<i>Hylurgops palliatus</i> – ethanol ^h	8, 80, 800	75, 196, 411	0.949	A (0.919); Q (0.952)
<i>Trypodendron domesticum</i> – ethanol ^h	8, 80, 800	16, 52, 105	0.976	A (0.960); Q (0.983); O (0.975); M (0.975)
<i>Tomicus piniperda</i> – ethanol ^h	8, 80, 800	2, 28, 24	0.235	A (0.814); M (0.609); O (0.609); Q (0.486)
<i>Rhizophagus ferrugineus</i> – ethanol ^h	8, 80, 800	12, 89, 290	0.876	A (0.999); Q (0.999); O (0.999); M (0.999)
Planococcus citri – pheromone, July ⁱ	25, 50, 100, 200, 400, 800	117, 122, 196, 215, 278, 178	0.149	A (0.429); B (0.221); C (0.174); P (0.065)
<i>P. citri</i> – pheromone, May ⁱ	25, 50, 100, 200, 400, 800	61, 84, 101, 94, 84, 124	0.362	A (0.337); Q (0.370)

Table S3. Logarithmic [Y = a + bln(X)] and non-linear (kinetic formation A-R) regressions describing the relationship between dosage of ethanol or pheromone (X) and trap captures for various insects (Y) in the field.

Synanthedon vespiformis – pheromone, June ^j	0.2, 0.5, 1, 2, 4	38, 84, 120, 104, 282	0.472	J (0.662); K (0.590); G (0.578); P (0.579)
S. vespiformis – pheromone, August ^j	1, 2, 4, 8, 16	70, 68, 165, 133, 284	0.547	K (0.474); G (0.466); B (0.456); P (0.456)
<i>Etiella zinckenella</i> – pheromone, Hungary ^k	1, 10, 100, 1000	8, 33, 61, 73	0.929	E (0.999); C (0.976); R (0.999); Q (0.999)
<i>E. zinckenella</i> – pheromone, Egypt ^k	1, 10, 100, 1000	2, 11, 28, 30	0.788	C (0.999); E (0.998); B (0.993); N (0.986)
<i>Ips pini</i> – lanierone ^l	0.0001, 0.001, 0.01, 0.1, 1	15, 38, 76, 152, 77	0.045	A (0.332); M (0.380); O (0.380); Q (0.345)
Anomala octiescostata – pheromone ^m	0.1, 1, 10, 100	90, 280, 333, 491	0.884	E (0.815); C (0.629); B (0.544); R (0.771)
Diabrotica balteata – pheromone ⁿ	30, 99.9, 300, 1000	5.1, 13.4, 24.8, 14.5	0.000	I (0.132); B (0.129); E (0.124); N (0.000)
Neodiprion sertifer – diprionyl acetate°	See footnotes	21.7, 44.6, 46.3, 80, 70.3, 103.4	0.841	E (0.700); R (0.728)
N. sertifer – diprionyl acetate – Fig. 6°	0.11, 1.1, 1.9, 18.3, 120.2	6, 14.8, 33.4, 40.2, 39.5	0.594	A (0.858); F (0.732); B (0.730); N (0.614)

^aUp to three kinetic formation regressions A-L (Table 1) listed from left to right in order of best fit using adjusted $R^2 > logarithmic R^2$, and one or more best-fitting formulas M-R, (if R^2 of formation functions $< logarithmic R^2$ then only the top two formulas of each category are shown).

^bByers et al. (1988), Coleoptera: Curculionidae, Table 1, test 4, (x 18 μ g/day methyl decadienoate or x 1 mg/day chalcogran) aggregation pheromone components; eq. Q: a = 3179, b = 2.94E-7.

^cTilden and Bedard (1985), Coleoptera: Curculionidae, Table 4 (mg/day each) of frontalin, exo-brevicomin, and myrcene, eq. A: a = 1782, b = 0.3463.

^dByers (1988), Table 1 (mg/day); varied frontalin plus 1.5 mg/day each of *exo*-brevicomin and myrcene, eq. log.: a = 301.9, b = 46.687.

^eByers (1988), Table 1 (mg/day); varied *exo*-brevicomin plus 1.5 mg/day each of frontalin and myrcene, eq. O: a = 328.85, b = 0.0238.

^fSchlyter et al. (1987a), Coleoptera: Curculionidae, Table 2 (mg/day); varied 2-methyl-3-buten-2-ol (MB) plus 1 mg/day *cis*-verbenol (cV), eq. K: a = 206.38, b = 0.03, c = 127.8, d = 0.00032; or varied cV with 50 mg/day MB, eq. E: a = 2257, b = 2.445E-7, c = 3.1662 (used in Figure 6).

^gSchlyter et al. (1987b), Tables 2 and 3 (mg/day); 2-methyl-3-buten-2-ol plus similar proportions of *cis*-verbenol (12 m trap separations) eq. A: a = 6231, b = 0.00226; (combined 1.5 to 12 m trap separations) eq. A: a = 2087, b = 0.1844.

^hByers (1992), Coleoptera: Curculionidae, Table 2 (mg/day); *H. palliates* eq. Q: a = 530.2, b = 3.89E-8, *T. domesticum* eq. Q: a = 135.6, b = 6.06E-7, and *T. piniperda* eq. A: a = 25.6, b = 0.0358, and (Coleoptera: Monotomidae) *R. ferrugineus* eq. A: a = 298.6, b = 0.0044.

ⁱZada et al. (2004), Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae, Fig. 5 (µg), July eq. A: a = 223.9, b = 0.021; May eq. L: a = -95.3, b = 181.97, c = 0.0769, d = 36060, e = 1.035E-6.

^jLevi-Zada et al. (2011), Lepidoptera: Sesiidae, Table 3 (mg); June eq. J: a = 68.6, b = 22704, c = 0.0357, d = 0.0357; August eq. log.: a = 45.4, b = 71.12.

^kTóth et al. (1989), Lepidoptera: Phycitidae, Table 3 (μ g); Hungary eq. E: a = 80.69, b = 3.82E-6, c = 3.331; Egypt eq. C: a = 30.01, b = 0.0164, c = 0.0013.

¹Teale et al. (1991), Fig. 11 (mg), eq. A: a = 112.8, b = 138.8; varied lanierone plus constant ipsdienol.

^mLeal et al. (1994), Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae, Fig. 3 (mg); eq. log.: a = 235.7, b = 54.55.

ⁿChuman et al. (1987), Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae, Table 3 (μ g); eq. I: a = 19.4, b=0.0165, c = 0.0657.

°Anderbrant et al. (1992), Hymenoptera: Diprionidae, X = 0.09, 0.45, 1.44, 22.5, 96.75, 281.25; Fig. 5 (μ g/day), eq. log.: a = 45.8, b = 8.74; Fig. 6 (μ g/day), eq. A: a = 40.26, b = 0.648.

References

- AL ABASSI, S., BIRKETT, M. A., PETTERSSON, J., PICKETT, J. A., WADHAMS, L. J., and WOODCOCK, C. M. 2000. Response of the seven-spot ladybird to an aphid alarm pheromone and an alarm pheromone inhibitor is mediated by paired olfactory cells. J. Chem. Ecol. 26:1765-1771.
- ANDERBRANT, O., BENGTSSON, M., LÖFQVIST, and BAECKSTRÖM, P. 1992. Field response of the pine sawfly *Neodiprion* sertifer to controlled release of diprionyl acetate, diprionyl proprionate and *trans*-perillenal. J. Chem. Ecol. 18:1707-1725.
- ANDERSON, P., HILKER, M., HANSSON, B. S., BOMBOSH, S., KLEIN, B., SCHILDKNECHT, H. 1993. Oviposition deterring components in larval frass of *Spodoptera littoralis* (Boisd.) (Lepidoptera: noctuidae): a behavioural and electrophysiological evaluation. J. Insect Physiol. 39:129-137.
- ANTONY, C., DAVIS, T. L., CARLSON, D. A., PECHINE, J. M., and JALLON, J. M. 1985. Compared behavioral responses of male *Drosophila melanogaster* (Canton S) to natural and synthetic aphrodisiacs. *J. Chem. Ecol.* 11:1617-1629.
- BELLAS, T. E., and BARTELL, R. J. 1983. Dose-response relationship for two components of the sex pheromone of lightbrown apple moth, *Epiphyas postvittana* (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae). J. Chem. Ecol. 9:715-725.
- BYERS, J. A. 1983. Sex-specific responses to aggregation pheromone: Regulation of colonization density in the bark beetle *Ips* paraconfusus. J. Chem. Ecol. 9:129-142.
- BYERS, J. A. 1988. Novel diffusion-dilution method for release of semiochemicals: Testing pheromone component ratios on western pine beetle. J. Chem. Ecol. 14:199-212.
- BYERS, J. A. 1992. Attraction of bark beetles, *Tomicus piniperda, Hylurgops palliatus*, and *Trypodendron domesticum* and other insects to short-chain alcohols and monoterpenes. J. Chem. Ecol. 18:2385-2402.

- BYERS, J. A. 2012c. Bark beetles, *Pityogenes bidentatus*, orienting to aggregation pheromone avoid conifer monoterpene odors when flying but not when walking. *Psyche J. Entomol.* vol. 2012, ID 940962, pp. 1-10.
- BYERS, J. A., and WOOD, D. L. 1981. Interspecific effects of pheromones on the attraction of the bark beetles, *Dendroctonus* brevicomis and *Ips paraconfusus* in the laboratory. J. Chem. Ecol. 7:9-18.
- BYERS, J. A., BIRGERSSON, G., LÖFQVIST, J., and BERGSTRÖM, G. 1988. Synergistic pheromones and monoterpenes enable aggregation and host recognition by a bark beetle, *Pityogenes chalcographus*. *Naturwissenschaften* 75:153–155.
- BYERS, J. A., BIRGERSSON, G., LÖFQVIST, J., APPELGREN, M., and BERGSTRÖM, G. 1990. Isolation of pheromone synergists of bark beetle, *Pityogenes chalcographus*, from complex insect-plant odors by fractionation and subtractive-combination bioassay. *J. Chem. Ecol.* 16:861-876.
- BYERS, J. A., WOOD, D. L., BROWNE, L. E., FISH, R. H., PIATEK, B., and HENDRY, L. B. 1979. Relationship between a host plant compound, myrcene and pheromone production in the bark beetle, *Ips paraconfusus. J. Insect Physiol.* 25:477-482.
- CHUMAN, T., GUSS, P. L., DOOLITTLE, R. E., MCLAUGHLIN, J. R., KRYSAN, J. L., SCHALK, J. M., and TUMLINSON, J. H. 1987. Identification of female-produced sex pheromone from banded cucumber beetle, *Diabrotica balteata* LeConte (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). *J. Chem. Ecol.* 13:1601-1616.
- COSSÉ, A. A., and BARTELT, R. J. 2000. Male-produced aggregation pheromone of *Colopterus truncates*: Structure, electrophysiological, and behavioral activity. *J. Chem. Ecol.* 26:1735-1748.
- DELORME, J. D., and PAYNE, T. L. 1990. Antennal olfactory responses of black turpentine beetle, *Dendroctonus terebrans* (Olivier), to bark beetle pheromones and host terpenes. J. Chem. Ecol. 16:1321-1329.
- DICKENS, J. C. 1989. Green leaf volatiles enhance aggregation pheromone of boll weevil, *Anthonomus grandis. Entomol. Exp. Appl.* 52:191-203.
- DICKENS, J. C., OLIVER, J. E., and MASTRO, V. C. 1997. Response and adaptation to analogs of disparlure by specialist antennal receptor neurons of gypsy moth, *Lymantria dispar. J. Chem. Ecol.* 23:2197-2210.
- DOLZER, J., FISCHER, K., and STENGL, M. 2003. Adaptation in pheromone-sensitivie trichoid sensilla of the hawkmoth Manduca

sexta. J. Exper. Biol. 206:1575-1588.

- GEMENO, C., LEAL, W. S., MORI, K., and SCHAL, C. 2003. Behavioral and electrophysiological responses of the brownbanded cockroach, *Supella longipalpa*, to stereoisomers of its sex pheromone, supellapyrone. *J. Chem. Ecol.* 29:1797-1811.
- GRANT, A. J., and LANIER, G. N. 1982. Electroantennogram responses of *Scolytus multistriatus* (Coleoptera: Scolytidae) to its pheromone components and to associated compounds. *J. Chem. Ecol.* 8:1333-1344.
- HILBUR, Y., BENGTSSON, M., LÖFQVIST, J., BIDDLE, A., PILLON, O., PLASS, E., FRANCKE, W., and HALLBERG, E. 2001. A chiral sex pheromone system in the pea midge, *Contarinia pisi*. J. Chem. Ecol. 27:1391-1407.
- JEWETT, D. K., BRIGHAM, D. L., and BJOSTAD, L. B. 1996. Hesperophylax occidentalis (Trichoptera: Limnephilidae): Electroantennogram structure-activity study of sex pheromone component 6-methylnonan-3-one. J. Chem. Ecol. 22:123-137.
- LANNE, B. S., SCHLYTER, F., BYERS, J. A., LÖFQVIST, J., LEUFVÉN, A., BERGSTRÖM, G., Van Der PERS, J. N. C., UNELIUS, R., BAECKSTRÖM, P., and NORIN, T. 1987. Differences in attraction to semiochemicals present in sympatric pine shoot beetles, *Tomicus minor* and *T. piniperda. J. Chem. Ecol.* 13:1045-1067.
- LEAL, W. S., HASEGAWA, M., SAWADA, M., ONO, M., and UEDA, Y. 1994. Identification and field evaluation of *Anomala* octiescostata (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae) sex pheromone. J. Chem. Ecol. 20:1643-1655.
- LEVI-ZADA, A., BEN-YEHUDA, S., DUNKELBLUM, E., GINDIN, G., FEFER, D., PROTASOV, A., KUZNETSOWA, T., MANULIS-SASSON, S., MENDEL, Z. 2011. Identification and field bioassays of the sex pheromone of the yellow-legged clearwing *Synanthedon vespiformis* (Lepidoptera: Sesiidae). *Chemoecology* 21:227-233.
- LI, Y., DICKENS, J.C., and STEINER, W.W.M. 1992. Antennal olfactory responsiveness of *Microplitis croceipes* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) to cotton plant volatiles. *J. Chem. Ecol.* 18:1761-1773.
- LUCAS, P., and RENOU, M. 1989. Responses to pheromone compounds in *Mamestra suasa* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) olfactory neurons. J. Insect Physiol. 35:837-845.
- MOORE, I. 1981. Biological amplification for increasing electroantennogram discrimination between two female sex pheromones of *Spodoptera littoralis* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). J. Chem. Ecol. 7:791-798.

- PESCHKE, K., and METZLER, M. 1987. Cuticular hydrocarbons and female sex pheromones of the rove beetle, *Aleochara curtula* (Goeze) (Coleoptera: Staphylinidae). *Insect Biochem*. 17:167-178.
- PREISS, R., and PRIESNER, E. 1988. Responses of male codling moths (*Laspeyresia pomonella*) to codlemone and other alcohols in a wind tunnel. J. Chem. Ecol. 14:797-813.
- SAKUMA, M., and FUKAMI, H. 1990. Dose/response relations in taxes of nymphs of the German cockroach, *Blattella germanica* (L.) (Dictyoptera: Blattellidae) to their aggregation pheromone. *Appl. Entomol. Zool.* 25:9-16.
- SCHAL, C., BURNS, E. L., JURENKA, A., and BLOMQUIST, G. J. 1990. A new component of the female sex pheromone of *Blattella germanica* (L.) (Dictyoptera: Blattellidae) and interaction with other pheromone components. J. Chem. Ecol. 16:1997-2008.
- SCHLYTER, F., LÖFQVIST, J., and BYERS, J. A. 1987a. Behavioural sequence in attraction of the bark beetle *Ips typographus* to pheromone sources. *Physiol. Entomol.* 12:185-196.
- SCHLYTER, F., BYERS, J. A., and LÖFQVIST, J. 1987b. Attraction to pheromone sources of different quantity, quality, and spacing: Density-regulation mechanisms in bark beetle *Ips typographus*. J. Chem. Ecol. 13:1503-1523.
- TEALE, S. A., and LANIER, G. N. 1991. Seasonal variability in response of *Ips pini* (Coleoptera: Scolytidae) to ipsdienol in New York. J. Chem. Ecol. 17:1145-1158.
- TEALE, S. A., WEBSTER, F. X., ZHANG, A., and LANIER, G. N. 1991. Lanierone: A new pheromone component from *Ips pini* (Coloeptera: Scolytidae) in New York. *J. Chem. Ecol.* 17:1159-1176.
- TILDEN, P. E., and BEDARD, W. D. 1985. Field response of *Dendroctonus brevicomis* to *exo*-brevicomin, frontalin, and myrcene released at two proportions and three levels. J. Chem. Ecol. 11:757-766.
- TODD, J. L., MILLAR, J. G., VETTER, R. S., and BAKER, T. C. 1992. Behavioral and electrophysiological activity of (*Z*,*E*)-7,9,11dodecatrienyl formate, a mimic of the major sex pheromone component of carob moth, *Ectomyelois ceratoniae*. J. Chem. Ecol. 18:2331-2352.

- TÓTH, M., LÖFSTEDT, C., HANSSON, B. S., SZÖCS, G., and FARAG, A. I. 1989. Identification of four components from the female sex pheromone of the lima-bean pod borer, *Etiella zinckenella*. *Entomol. Exp. Appl.* 51:107-112.
- TØMMERÅS, B. Å, MUSTAPARTA, H., and GREGOIRE, J.-CL. 1984. Receptor cells in *Ips typographus* and *Dendroctonus micans* specific to pheromones of reciprocal genus. J. Chem. Ecol. 10:759-769.
- VALEUR, P., HANSSON, B. S., MARKEBO, K., and LÖFSTEDT, C. 2000. Relationship between sex pheromone elicited behaviour and response of single olfactory receptor neurons in a wind tunnel. *Physiol. Entomol.* 25:223-232.
- WHITEHEAD, A. T. 1986. Electroantennogram responses by mountain pine beetles, *Dendroctonus ponderosae* Hopkins, exposed to selected semiochemicals. J. Chem. Ecol. 7:1603-1621.
- WU, W. Q., COTTRELL, C. B., HANSSON, B. S., and LÖFSTEDT, C. 1999. Comparative study of pheromone production and response in Swedish and Zimbabwean populations of turnip moth, *Agrotis segetum. J. Chem. Ecol.* 25:177-196.
- ZADA, A., DUNKELBLUM, E., HAREL, M., ASSAEL, F., GROSS, S., and MENDEL, Z. 2004. Sex pheromone of the citrus mealybug *Planococcus citri*: Synthesis and optimization of trap parameters. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 97:361-368.
- ZHU, J., COSSÉ, A. A., OBRYCKI, J. J., BOOK, K. S., and BAKER, T. C. 1999. Olfactory reactions of the twelve-spotted lady beetle, *Coleomegilla maculata* and the green lacewing, *Chrysoperla carnea* to semiochemicals released from their prey and host plant: electroantennogram and behavioral responses. J. Chem. Ecol. 25:1163-1177.